

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439
dbergeson@be-law.com
2 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373
mmorton@be-law.com
3 BERGESON, LLP
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500
4 San Jose, CA 95110-2712
Telephone: (408) 291-6200
5 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

6 R. TERRANCE RADER, Esq., (*Pro Hac Vice*)
rtr@raderfishman.com
7 THOMAS E. BEJIN, Esq., (*Pro Hac Vice*)
teb@raderfishman.com
8 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140
9 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
Telephone: (248) 594-0600
10 Facsimile: (248) 594-0610

11 Attorneys for Defendant
NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION
12

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
16

17 GREGORY BENDER, an individual,

Case No. C09-01151 JSW

18 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
RE: INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS**

19 vs.

Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

20 NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Date Filed: March 16, 2009

21 Defendant.

Trial Date: None

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Plaintiff Gregory Bender (“Bender”) served infringement contentions on Defendant National
2 Semiconductor Corporation (“National Semiconductor”) on February 19, 2010. National
3 Semiconductor contends that Bender’s infringement contentions fail to comply with Patent L.R. 3-1.
4 In accordance with Magistrate Judge Zimmerman’s Initial Discovery Order dated February 9, 2010,
5 Bender and National Semiconductor will meet and confer on the issue of compliance with Patent L.R.
6 3-1 and participate in a conference with Magistrate Judge Zimmerman before filing any discovery or
7 other motion. Until the issue of compliance is resolved, the parties agree that National Semiconductor
8 shall be relieved from its obligations under Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4.

9 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE THAT:

10 Defendant National Semiconductor shall be relieved from its obligations under Patent L.R. 3-3
11 and 3-4 until 45 days following the final resolution of the issue regarding whether Bender’s
12 infringement contentions or supplemental infringement contentions comply with Patent L.R. 3-1.

13

14 **IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.**

15

16 Dated: March31, 2010

BERGESON, LLP

17

By: _____ /s/

18 Melinda M. Morton, Esq.
19 Attorneys for National Semiconductor

20

Dated: March31, 2010

DAVID N. KUHN

21

By: _____ /s/

22 David N. Kuhn, Esq.
23 Attorney for Bender

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

ORDER

3

4

In accordance with the foregoing stipulation of the parties, the Court enters the Stipulation
as an Order of the Court.

5

6

IT IS SO ORDERED.

7


8

Dated: March 31, 2010

9

10

By:



Honorable Jeffrey S. White
United States District Judge

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28